

**DRAFTER'S NOTE**  
**FROM THE**  
**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-4021/2dn

MGG:kg:pg

December 7, 2001

Attention: David Ghilardi

1. Note my changes to the cross-references in s. 100.298 (5) (b) 2. I did not add the suggested language because already, under current law, s. 93.18 (5) applies to any process by the department. To include language in s. 100.298 would raise the inference that DATCP does not have jurisdiction over nonresidents in other types of actions and may not use s. 93.18 (5) in serving nonresidents. If you think s. 93.18 (5) does not clearly give the jurisdiction that you are seeking over nonresidents, I would recommend amending s. 93.18 (5).
2. In s. 100.298 (4) (e), I changed "retailer's" to "seller's" for consistency. OK?
3. Please review the definition of "purchaser's investment." The definition of "consideration" in Black's Law Dictionary does include anything of value, including labor. I did not, however, expand the definition of "purchaser's investment" to include indirect costs incurred by the purchaser because of how the defined term is used in the draft. Please call me to discuss any changes.
4. In s. 100.298 (4) (e), I changed "retailer's" to "seller's" for consistency. OK?
5. Note the change made in s. 100.298 (4) (c).
6. Please review the definition of "purchaser's investment." The definition of "consideration" in Black's Law Dictionary does include anything of value, including labor. I did not, however, expand the definition of "purchaser's investment" to include indirect costs incurred by the purchaser because of how the defined term is used in the draft. Please call me to discuss any changes.
7. I had one of our criminal law drafters review s. 100.298 (5) (c) and (d). The changes that simplify these provisions are based on his suggestions.
8. Finally, please review the analysis carefully to ensure that it is accurate.

Mary Gibson-Glass  
Senior Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 267-3215